MEASURING THE SOCIAL VALUE OF POSTAL SERVICES

Progress Report and Results:

June 2011

The Urban Institute

Direct Communications Group

Submitted to the Postal Regulatory Commission Contract #109909-10-Q-0017



OUTLINE OF PRESENTATION

- Introduction
- The three studies and their project teams
- Crime and Safety Study
- Economic Effects Study
- Transportation and Price Leadership Study
- Summary

INTRODUCTION

- Builds on an earlier Urban Institute study for PRC
 - completed in February 2010
 - identified the array of benefits provided by the USPS—
 through its mail service and post offices—that contribute to the social value of the post
- Current contract includes three substudies that consider areas of potential benefits—crime and safety, community economic effects, and pricing
- Findings are limited, but contribute to planning for a future postal service that adapts to a changing communications environment and meets the needs of consumers

PROJECT TEAMS

- Community Security and Public Safety
 - John Roman
 - Meagan Cahill
 - Samantha Hetrick
- Economic Effects of Post Offices
 - Nancy Pindus
 - Chris Hayes
 - Chris Narducci
 - Advisors: George Galster, Doug Wissoker
- Access and Price Leadership Role of USPS
 - Alan Robinson, Direct Communications Group
 - Richard Waterman



PUBLIC SAFETY STUDY: BACKGROUND

- Presence of mail carriers may:
 - Deter some offending
 - Increase detection of offenses through the identification of suspicious or unusual behavior
 - Provide social benefits by identifying and assisting ill residents or crime victims
- Research evidence:
 - Informal social control
 - Collective efficacy
 - Routine activity theory
 - Situational crime prevention



PUBLIC SAFETY STUDY: RESEARCH QUESTIONS

- What is the impact of changing hours of postal retail services on crime in the District of Columbia?
- What is the impact of modifying patterns in postal carrier routes on crime in the District of Columbia?
- How are crime rates influenced by areas with postal carriers for short periods of time (e.g., lunch and break locations)?
- What types of incidents are being reported by postal personnel in the District of Columbia?
- What type of training is provided to USPS personnel regarding community security and public safety reporting?

PUBLIC SAFETY STUDY: DATA AND METHODS

- Quantitative and qualitative analysis using several measures:
 - USPS personnel, routes, hours of operation, locations, and daily activities; neighborhood characteristics; criminal incidents
 - Up to 9 interviews with USPS postal carriers
- Goal: identify the association between presence of USPS personnel and crime levels
- Method determined by patterns of change in USPS personnel behavior; if changes in their routine activities...
 - Occurred at **one time**, we will use regression discontinuity methods
 - Were **rolled out over time**, we will use difference-in-differences methods
- Conduct cost benefit analysis to determine net social benefit of USPS activity in terms of crime reduction
- Conduct hot spot mapping and point pattern analysis



PUBLIC SAFETY STUDY: PROGRESS TO-DATE

- Identification and confirmation of potential data sources
 - In house:
 - Calls for service, reported incidents, and arrests from the Metropolitan Police Department (MPD) for 2000 through 2010
 - Several layers of information about neighborhood characteristics of (e.g., socio-economic indicators, land use, and crime rates) along postal carrier routes
 - Received summary of changes for the entire "Capital" district (no route-by-route data); total daily Delivery Operation Information System (DOIS) data on number of routes, volumes, and costs for FY 2009.
- Requested data from USPS and NALC; none received to-date
- Data not pursued because of funding uncertainty

PUBLIC SAFETY STUDY: NEXT STEPS*

- Get IRB approval for research
- Actively pursue data acquisition from USPS and NALC
- Conduct interviews with nine postal carriers on route, schedule, habits while on the job, other relevant information
- Conduct analyses as appropriate for data
 - Regression discontinuity vs. difference-in-differences
 - Cost-benefit analysis
 - Hot spot mapping and point pattern analysis

^{*} Remainder of study was not funded



ECONOMIC EFFECTS: BACKGROUND

- Steep declines in mail volume and revenue have challenged the financial condition of the US Postal Service.
- One response has been to close post offices
 - Between 2000 and 2010, the USPS has closed approximately 400 post offices, stations and branches
- Criteria for identifying particular post offices for closure are not clear, but as a matter of law "no small post office shall be closed solely for operating at a deficit."
- A post office has social benefits, including economic impact on the surrounding community.

ECONOMIC EFFECTS: RESEARCH QUESTIONS

- Does the presence of a post office contribute to a community's economic vitality? Specifically,
 - What impact does the presence of a post office have on business activity (e.g., start-ups, business volume, types of businesses)?
 - What impact does the presence of a post office have on employment?
- Our hypothesis is that the closing of a post office has negative effects on the economic condition of the surrounding community.
- Impact is measured by numbers of employees and business establishments at the ZIP code level.

ECONOMIC EFFECTS: DATA

- List of closures included facilities not open to the public, so only stations and branches selected for the sample.
- Excluded ZIP codes with either 0 employees or more than 1,000 employees reported in 2000.
- Final sample consists of 69 ZIP codes with post offices closed between 2002 and 2005.
- ZIP codes with open postal facilities drawn from a USPS file compiled in October 2010, also restricted to facilities open to the public.
- Outcome indicators are from the ZIP Business Patterns data set (U.S. Census Bureau). Predictors from the 2000 Census.

ECONOMIC EFFECTS: METHODS

- Difference-in-differences model
 - For each ZIP code with a closed post office, select two matched
 ZIPs that did not lose their post offices
 - Either from the same metro area, or, in the case of non-urban ZIP codes, rural ZIP codes in the same state.
 - Match based on pre-closure values and rate of change in our outcome variables.
 - Compare change for areas with closed post offices to matched communities for the same period, using local employment and number of business establishments.
 - To the degree that a post office closure affects one of the ZIP codes in the triad, there will be a difference that appears during the postclosure period.

ECONOMIC EFFECTS: FINDINGS

- We find indications of a weak negative impact of closure on employment in the ZIPs in our sample.
 - Results varied little across specifications and techniques tested.
- Magnitude of impact is small closure is associated with a loss of fewer than 10 jobs, in general.
- Results are usually not significant, and have wide confidence intervals.

ECONOMIC EFFECTS: LIMITATIONS

- Sample was limited to ZIP codes with relatively low numbers of employed, so not generalizable to all ZIP codes.
- The size variation of ZIP codes may increase the confidence intervals of the estimated impacts.
- Small sample size eliminated the possibility of testing impacts on different types of communities.
- Model does not control for socio-economic conditions with indicators that change over time.
- Attempted to control for similar patterns, but can't rule out other factors affecting closure and economic outcomes.

ECONOMIC EFFECTS: IMPLICATIONS

- Results are suggestive, but not sufficient to promote policy recommendations.
- Expanding the sample size and/or adding longitudinal control variables may produce more meaningful results.
- Qualitative research/case studies may be able to fill in detail on direct impacts.
- Would be useful to know patterns of business closures and relationship to post office services, foot traffic, population affected.

TRANSPORTATION AND PRICE LEADERSHIP: BACKGROUND

- The Postal Service is the third largest carrier in the parcel market. It is significantly smaller than the other two
 - FedEx is the leader in overnight and other services involving air transportation
 - UPS is the leader in ground delivery
- Prices in the market differ based on volume and market segmentation
 - Retail customers pay more than commercial customers
 - All commercial customers use contract rates
 - Largest commercial customers pay the lowest rates.
 - Competition is intense when contracts are up for renewal



TRANSPORTATION AND PRICE LEADERSHIP: BACKGROUND

- All three carriers are under legal obligation to serve all communities in the United States listed in their charter.
 - USPS, FedEx and UPS deliver to all zip codes
 - Some exceptions to overnight commitment for Express Services may exist in Alaska
 - USPS, FedEx and UPS accept shipments for all services from nearly all zip codes
 - Some exceptions may exist in Alaska
 - Pick-up charges may exist for some customers
- Only the USPS can deliver to P.O. Boxes, and APO and other military addresses.



TRANSPORTATION AND PRICE LEADERSHIP: RESEARCH QUESTIONS

- 1. Does the Postal Service offer greater access to parcel and express transportation, money orders, and post office boxes than the private sector?
- 2. Do Postal Service prices establish a competitive baseline for other delivery services, money orders, and mailbox box rentals constraining unfettered pricing?
- 3. Does the Postal Service offer competitive advantages to small and home-based business that would not exist without the services that they offer?
- 4. Does the Postal Service provide commercial customers with an economical means of shipping packages that would be more expensive if private sector carriers were used?



TRANSPORTATION AND PRICE LEADERSHIP: METHODS

- Access Analysis:
 - Identify alternatives to USPS
 - Select stratified sample of counties to examine
 - Select address within the county
 - Scan provider websites to identify locations
 - Analyze the data to evaluate differences in access
- Analyze distance to closest location of the private sector and the Postal Service
 - Measured for delivery services, money orders, and mailbox rentals
 - Measured for private sector delivery services combined and separately



TRANSPORTATION AND PRICE LEADERSHIP: METHODS

- Pricing Analysis
 - Historical Analysis
 - Compared history of price change announcements and size of price changes from (1998 to 2010)
 - Price Analysis
 - Analyzed zone structure
 - limited comparison to rates published in tariff as zones were nearly identical for the three carriers.
 - Analyzed list rates used by the USPS, FedEx, and UPS for retail and commercial customers
 - Compared rates for overnight, 2-day, and ground parcel delivery

TRANSPORTATION AND PRICE LEADERSHIP: METHODS

Small Business Analysis

- Supplemented pricing analysis by adding the impact of small business discounts to shipment charges
 - Focused on Priority Mail as Parcel Post is often not service competitive
 - Included home delivery surcharge to identify the limits of USPS advantages for small retail shippers

Large Business Shippers

- Limited analysis to Postal Service products serving customers shipping light weight items
- Described the large shipper market that uses services are not provided solely by USPS and FedEx.



TRANSPORTATION AND PRICE LEADERSHIP: ACCESS FINDINGS

- Postal Service offers nearly identical access from county seats regardless of urban/rural nature of county
- Postal Service offers greater access than most competitors in all but the more densely populated urban/rural areas
- In the more densely populated rural and/or urban counties, the private sector providers offer a competitive level of access to services.
- For the most rural areas, retail service at a Post Office may be the only retail option within a 15-30 minute drive
- Private sector locations profitably provide services as part of a broader retail, business service, or financial offering



TRANSPORTATION AND PRICE LEADERSHIP: PRICING FINDINGS

- Pricing: Money Orders
 - Postal Service prices at best act as price ceiling on money order prices.
 - Private sector prices are considerably lower than USPS
 - Prices suggest other market forces may be more constraining on prices
- Pricing: Mailboxes
 - Private sector prices more than double USPS prices
 - Private sector competitors face no constraints from the USPS
 - Mailbox services of USPS and private sector are very different



TRANSPORTATION AND PRICE LEADERSHIP: PRICING FINDINGS

- Pricing: Parcels and Shipping (Price History)
 - Largest carrier in market now sets rates first
 - Rate changes of private sector carriers now identical to carrier that makes change first — USPS rate changes appear to be unrelated.
 - Accessorial charges, delivery surcharges, and residential surcharges, identical for private sector.
 - Overall increase between 1998 and 2010 nearly identical for all carriers, suggesting private sector carrier rates have tracked each other for a long time.

TRANSPORTATION AND PRICE LEADERSHIP: PRICING FINDINGS

- Pricing: Parcels and Shipping (Overnight)
 - UPS and FedEx prices use a different model that relates weight and distance costs to prices
 - Suggests that UPS and FedEx are unconstrained in structuring their overnight rates
 - For nearly all shipments in zones 5 and above, UPS/FedEx are unconstrained by what USPS charges as USPS rates are lower
- Pricing: Parcels and Shipping (Ground Delivery)
 - USPS rates are lowest for light weight parcels private sector carriers appear to ignore USPS rates for lightweight parcels
 - UPS and FedEx rates track each other more methodically than they track USPS rates
 - Not clear what influence USPS has on retail rates of private sector



TRANSPORTATION AND PRICE LEADERSHIP:FINDINGS

- Pricing: Parcels and Shipping (2-Day service)
 - UPS Ground competes with Priority Mail in Zones 2 through 4
 - Over 70% of both carriers deliver ground parcels in 2 days.
 - Priority Mail rates not competitive when it competes with USPS
 Ground accept for some very light parcels
 - Price difference reflects the use of an air transportation cost model verses a ground transportation cost model
 - Priority Mail rates much less than private sector rates for competitive 2-Day air rates (zones 5 and above).
 - Competitive rates do not appear to be influenced by the USPS
 Priority Mail rates.



TRANSPORTATION AND PRICE LEADERSHIP: FINDINGS

- Advantages and disadvantages to small and home-based businesses
 - Postal Service has an advantage for small businesses needing access to a retail location
 - Postal Services advantages limited to light weight parcels
 - Private sector carrier discounts make their products cheaper than USPS Commercial rates on most business-to-business shipments
 - When residential delivery surcharges added, private sector rates still are less except for light weight parcels
 - Postal Service advantage strongest price advantage is in weight/zone cells in tariff affected by private sector minimum delivery charges

TRANSPORTATION AND PRICE LEADERSHIP:FINDINGS

- Advantages and disadvantages to commercial customers
 - Postal Service Commercial Plus rates offer advantages for light weight parcels
 - Postal Service Commercial Plus rates offer advantages for all parcels needing two-three day service for zones 7 and 8, as private sector service is much more expensive
 - Postal Service offers a joint-line service that is very attractive to lightweight (under 10 pound) parcels:
 - Requiring home delivery
 - Not requiring a signature
 - Shipper must provide free shipping and recipient accepts slower delivery time



TRANSPORTATION AND PRICE LEADERSHIP: LIMITATIONS

- No information on:
 - USPS services offered in contract locations
 - Comparative demand for services at retail outlets in communities with and without out private sector competitors
 - Characteristics of customers who use retail outlets, including customers who purchase service on web
 - Demand for services not requiring retail outlets
 - Characteristics of customers who use self-service, and carrier pickup services instead of using retail outlets
- While differences in access are identified, the financial impact is unclear.

TRANSPORTATION AND PRICE LEADERSHIP: LIMITATIONS (cont.)

- USPS access in many areas may be larger than examined
- Results are best available but most likely underestimate private sector access
 - Exclusion of CVS outlets selling money orders
 - Companies do not list all locations on web sites
 - Independent mailbox rental locations not easily identified on web
- Analysis of prices looked at a snapshot in time
- Information on contract rates is unavailable
- Service commitment of USPS for Priority Mail is unavailable

 not possible to compare private sector ground services to
 USPS on an origin-destination basis.
- Analysis did not look at impact of surcharges in detail.



TRANSPORTATION AND PRICE LEADERSHIP: IMPLICATIONS

- Rural Post Offices (even as franchises) may not make business sense if:
 - The profit center does not include both retail and delivery services
 - Service offerings remain restricted
- Unclear what impact the Postal Service has on private sector locations of outlets
 - Further study needed to determine geographic market areas of
 USPS and private sector retail outlets in both urban and rural areas

TRANSPORTATION AND PRICE LEADERSHIP: IMPLICATIONS

- Postal Service needs to rethink its price structure for Priority Mail to reflect the true competitive product
 - Differences in pricing model for air services needs to be evaluated
- Differences between the pricing model of UPS and FedEx and the USPS model raise questions about the USPS tariff and the impact of those differences on profits.
- Postal Service needs to justify why rates for low weight parcel Post, Priority Mail, and Express Mail are often so much below competition

AREAS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

- More research on demand for services at retail outlets
- More detailed examination looking at geographic market areas
- Research on the impact of billing systems and parcel handling process on serving small and large business customers

AREAS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

- More research on impact of contract pricing
 - Examination of impact of the pricing and contract strategies of private sector in small business and large business markets
 - Examination of the need to publish discounts from standard commercial rates (Commercial Base rates) by the Postal Service
- Research on the potential implication of moving Parcel Post to the competitive product category
 - Focus on a set of market areas in urban and rural areas
 - Integrate demand analysis with analysis of density of suppliers



SUMMARY

- All three studies attempt to bring evidence to bear on some commonly held and intuitively logical beliefs about the value of the postal service.
- Economic effects study limited by small sample size and inability to demonstrate causality
- Public safety study if had been able to proceed offers more promise in terms of data sources and focal interest, but likely to encounter similar problems as economic effect study.
- Small impacts may be the most that can be expected, but they can still be better understood and quantified.

SUMMARY (Cont.)

- Transportation and pricing study more practical, market analysis
- Shows that USPS generally not a price leader and not acting like a competitive player in the market —perhaps because it is a hybrid
- All three studies point to data limitations, but USPS systems not designed for research
- Demonstrated the difficulty of empirically measuring social benefit and provided potential models, ways of looking at the available data, and good market descriptions.